IRELL & MANELLA LLP Richard B. Kendall (SBN 90072) Laura W. Brill (SBN 195889) Peter Shimamoto (SBN 123422) Gregory A. Fayer (SBN 232303) RECEIVED 1800 Avenue of the Stars. Suite 900 Los Angeles. California 90067-4276 JAN 2 6 200 Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199 CIVIL OPERATIONS Attorneys for Cross-Complainants CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR. INC.. CBS OUTDOOR, INC., and NATIONAL ADVERTISING COMPANY 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC., a Case No. BC 282832 Delaware Corporation, Assigned For All Purposes To: 12 Hon. Ralph W. Dau. Department 57 Plaintiff, 13 **STIPULATED** VS. JUDGMENT 14 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a California Charter City and ALL PERSONS 15 INTERESTED IN THE VALIDITY OF THE JULY 23. 2002, CITY COUNCIL Hearing Date: February 2, 2007 16 ORDINANCE NUMBER 174736. Time: 8:30 a.m. AMENDING LOS ANGELES Dept.: 57 17 MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION Date Filed: October 4, 2002 91.6205.18 (OFF-SITE SIGN PERIODIC Trial Date: TBA 18 INSPECTION PROGRAM), IMPOSING AN OFF-SITE SIGN PERIÓDIC INSPECTION FEE, AND ENACTING LOS ANGELES MUNICIPAL CODE 19 SECTION 5.111.17, CREATING AN OFF-SITE SIGN PERIODIC 20 21 INSPECTION TRUST FUND, Defendants. 22 23 24 25 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS 27 28

[REVISED PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT

1	[REVISED PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT
2	Cross-Complainants, Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. ("Clear Channel"), CBS Outdoor
3	Inc. ("CBS"), and National Advertising Company ("National") (collectively, "Clear
4	Channel Plaintiffs"), and Defendant, City of Los Angeles ("City") (collectively, the
5	"Parties"), have negotiated and entered into a settlement agreement ("Settlement
6	Agreement") that resolves, as between the Parties, the lawsuit titled Vista Media Group,
7	Inc. v. City of Los Angeles et al., Case No. BC282832 (the "State Court Action").
8	WHEREAS, Clear Channel Plaintiffs are outdoor advertising companies that own
9	and/or operate outdoor advertising structures within the City.
10	WHEREAS, CBS Outdoor Inc. is the successor in interest to Viacom Outdoor Inc.
11	("Viacom");
12	WHEREAS, in 2002, the Los Angeles City Council ("City Council") passed
13	Ordinance Numbers 174442 and 174736 to amend the Los Angeles Municipal Code
14	("Municipal Code") to establish an off-site sign structure inspection program ("Program").
15	The Program established, among other things, an inspection fee ("Inspection Fee") of \$314
16	per Off-Site Sign Structure ("Structure") (as defined in Section 91.6203 of the Municipal
17	Code) for 2002;
18	WHEREAS, on September 27, 2002 Clear Channel and Viacom filed a Complaint
19	against the City and others in the United States District Court, Central District of
20	California, titled Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. et al. v. City of Los Angeles et al., Case No.
21	02-7586 (the "Federal Action"), asserting that the Program was invalid, and Clear Channel
22	and CBS are continuing to prosecute the Federal Action;
23	WHEREAS, on October 4, 2002, Vista Media Group, Inc. ("Vista") commenced an
24	action in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, titled Vista Media
	II

Group, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles et al., Case No. BC282832, also asserting that the Program is invalid;

WHEREAS, Clear Channel and CBS are Cross-Complainants in the State Court Action;

27

WHEREAS, the City desires to ensure the prompt removal of unpermitted Off-Site Signs and to ensure that Off-Site Sign Structures conform with their applicable permits; CBS and Clear Channel dispute the amount of the Inspection Fee but desire to resolve permitting issues; and the Parties mutually desire to resolve the issues keeping the City's costs low:

WHEREAS, having engaged in good faith negotiations, the Parties wish to settle the State Court Action and the federal action on the terms specified in the Settlement Agreement:

WHEREAS, as part of that settlement, the Parties wish to provide for the take-down of certain of Clear Channel's and CBS's Structures, as well as to provide for the Repermitting, as described in Section 6 of the Settlement Agreement, and/or modernization of certain of Clear Channel's and CBS's Structures that fall within the specified categories, as specified in this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the City will obtain the benefit of the removal of a number of Structures, and the Inspection Fee will be reduced to reflect Clear Channel's and CBS's cooperation with City enforcement effort:

WHEREAS the Parties have agreed and stipulated to entry of judgment based on the terms of the Settlement Agreement as provided below;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that judgment is entered as follows:

#### 1. Judgment

The Court finds that the Parties have reached an agreement that would settle this State Court Action and the related federal action on mutually agreeable terms. Clear Channel Plaintiffs' Cross-Complaint and amendments thereto are hereby dismissed with prejudice, and judgment is entered pursuant to the terms set forth below. This Court hereby retains jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce their Agreement and this Judgment as set forth below and as further set forth in the Parties Agreement executed in November 2006.

## 2. Fees

- A. <u>Initial Inspection Fee</u> The Initial Inspection Fee shall be \$186 per Structure, which shall represent a lump-sum prepayment of a \$62 per year annual fee for the Initial Inspection Period, which shall be the longer of (i) three years from March 30, 2007 (or a later date at the election of the City) ("Inspection Start Date") or (ii) the period between the Inspection Start Date and the date by which each Structure has been inspected once. The Initial Inspection Fee shall be due and payable by March 30, 2007 (or, if the City desires a later Inspection Start Date, within 60 days of the date CBS and Clear Channel receive written notice from the City requesting such payment).
- B. Second Inspection Fee The Second Inspection Fee shall be \$184 per
  Structure, which shall represent a lump sum prepayment of \$61.33 per year
  annual fee for the Second Inspection Period, which shall be the longer of (i)
  three years after the expiration of the Initial Inspection Period or (ii) the
  period between the expiration of the Initial Inspection Period and the date by
  which each Structure has been inspected a second time. The Second

Inspection Fee shall be due and payable three years from the Inspection Start Date.

- C. <u>Delinquent Fees</u> If the fees identified in Section 2.A or 2.B are not paid on or before the last day of the month in which they are due, a monthly penalty equal to five percent (5%) of any outstanding fees, but not less than \$10.00, shall be added to said fees. Any fees remaining unpaid after the last day of the month shall continue to accrue an additional monthly penalty of five percent (5%) of the outstanding principal fees on the last day of each month until paid in full. The City shall not assess any other penalty for the failure to timely pay those fees.
- D. Right to Inspect Records Clear Channel and CBS shall have a right to inspect at any time all books and records of the City that the City maintains in its ordinary course of business, including electronic records such as e-time and other cost accounting programs relating to costs for the inspections. The City shall provide reasonable assistance to Clear Channel and CBS in reviewing such records, including but not limited to providing accurate reports that the City maintains in its ordinary course of business and that are available through the City's electronic systems relating to the costs of the program.

#### 3. Data Collection

- A. No later than the Inspection Start Date, Clear Channel and CBS will provide:
  - i. Copies of building permits in their files for each Structure.
  - ii. If a building permit is not available in Clear Channel's or CBS's files for any Structure, Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable, shall provide the City with the following information for each Structure instead, such information to be provided in electronic format:
    - Address of Structure (either street address, lot/block address, or location with reference to nearest intersection);

- b. The year the Structure was erected to the best of the company's knowledge;
- c. For all Structures erected on or before July 1, 1986 ("Pre-1986 Structure"), a basis for Clear Channel's or CBS's contention that the sign was erected on or before July 1, 1986, e.g., the existence of a deed, construction records, advertising records, a certificate of occupancy that specifically refers to a sign, an electrical permit, a lease, tax records, or other similar records; and
- d. The type of Structure, where available (e.g., roof sign, pole sign).

## B. <u>Undisclosed Structures</u>

- i. If, during the Initial Inspection Period, the City discovers any sign Structure belonging to Clear Channel or CBS, which Structure was not identified pursuant to Section 3.A. above, the City shall so notify the relevant company, which shall, within 30 days, provide the data set forth in Section 3.A for such Structure. If, the company has not complied with Section 3.A. within 30 days of receiving such notice, the company shall pay to the City the sum of \$500 per undisclosed Structure (in addition to the fees set forth in Section 3).
- ii. The City has represented that neither wall signs nor street furniture on public property (including but not limited to benches, bus shelters, kiosks, newsstands, and public toilets) are a subject of the inspection efforts that are to be covered by the program. Therefore, the disclosure obligations set forth in Section 3.A shall not be required as to any wall sign or street furniture, and this Judgment has no application to wall signs or street furniture. Roof signs are subject to this Judgment.

C. The City shall consult with Clear Channel and CBS on the technical aspects of its data base, including but not limited to technical requirements to facilitate the maintenance of confidentiality for Clear Channel's and CBS's trade secrets. The City shall cooperate in making relevant public records available to Clear Channel and CBS in order to facilitate their disclosure, with Clear Channel and CBS paying the same expenses for such cooperation as would other members of the public.

#### D. Confidentiality and Consent Judgment

- The City has represented that competitors of Clear Channel and CBS
  have, from time to time, sought information regarding all signs owned
  or controlled by one or both of these companies.
- ii. Clear Channel believes that the compilation of its data called for in Section 3.A, including but not limited to compiled information disclosing the location of all or substantially all of Clear Channel's sign Structures, is confidential and proprietary in nature and constitutes trade secret information that is commercially valuable to Clear Channel. Clear Channel believes that it could suffer substantial and irreparable business harm if a compilation of information regarding all or a substantial portion of its sign Structures were to be shared with any other company.
- iii. CBS believes that the compilation of its data called for in Section 3.A, including but not limited to compiled information disclosing the location of all or substantially all of CBS's sign Structures, is confidential and proprietary in nature and constitutes trade secret information that is commercially valuable to CBS. CBS believes that it could suffer substantial and irreparable business harm if a compilation of information regarding all or a substantial portion of their sign Structures were to be shared with any other company.

	4	
	5	
	6	ļ
	7	1
	8	
	9	
	1 <b>d</b>	
	1	
	1/2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
i	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
Š	22	4
()	23	
	24	
	25	
		T.

2

3

iv.	The City takes no position on whether such n	naterials are confi	identia
	or trade secrets but has agreed to comply with		
	to that effect.		

- The Court hereby finds that the compilation of data to be provided to the City pursuant to Section 3.A, above (a) is a protectible trade secret, made available to the City pursuant to the settlement of litigation, (b) that such compilations are exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Cal. Govt. Code §§ 6254.7(d) & 6254.15; LAAC § 12.21(d) & (l), and (c) that the City may not disclose such compilations to any member of the public except as provided herein or by court order.
- vi. The City shall ensure that any publicly accessible database including permit information obtained from either Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable, pursuant to this Agreement, shall not permit members of the public to search for signs based upon Clear Channel's or CBS's association with such sign.
- vii. In the event that any person requests that the City provide it with all or a substantial portion of the permit information provided to the City by either Clear Channel or CBS pursuant to Section 3.A, the City shall, at least 10 days before making such disclosure, provide Clear Channel or CBS, as the case may be, with written notice regarding the nature of the request for information.
- viii. Nothing herein shall prevent the City from providing to the public the name of the sign company associated with any sign if requested to do so based upon the location of or complaint about a particular sign.

  Nor shall this Judgment prevent City personnel from searching its own data base for information based on ownership information.

26

27

# 4. Take Downs

- A. CBS represents that it has 1.628 sign Structures in the City of Los Angeles.
- B. Clear Channel represents that it has 1,657 sign Structures in the City of Los Angeles.
- C. CBS and Clear Channel will each take down 3% of their respective sign Structures. *i.e.*, forty-nine (49) sign Structures each. Each company shall take down no less than 25 of these sign Structures by the end of the Initial Inspection Period, with the remainder to be taken down no later than the end of the Second Inspection Period.
- D. Sign Structures taken down for any reason, including but not limited to being incligible for Re-permitting as provided in Section 6, below, shall count toward the total number of take downs.
- E. Final selection of sign Structures for removal shall be at the sole discretion of CBS and Clear Channel, as applicable.
- F. Disputes regarding this Section 4 shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.

#### 5. Modernization Permits

A. Types of Modernizations. Subject to the limits set forth in Section 7.A, below, CBS and Clear Channel, respectively, shall be entitled to add to, convert, or rebuild their currently existing Structures to include (i) digital technology that allows static advertising copy to be changed remotely by electronic communications rather than by changing the advertising copy on site with poster sheets, or vinyl ("digital posting" also known as "programmable electronic messages"); (ii) tri-vision Structures (*i.e.*, Structures with moving three-sides slats); (iii) horizontal or vertical back-lit 30 sheets; or (iv) an additional face on a single-faced Structure (collectively, "Modernizations").

## B. Conditions on Modernizations

- i. Modernizations must comply with current building and electrical Code provisions relating to Structural and Electrical Safety, as defined in Section 5.B.(iv) below. The messages displayed on the Structures identified in Sections 5.A.(i) and 5.A.(ii) must not change more than once every four seconds or include motion pictures or slide projections. No blank interval shall be required between images consecutively displayed on Structures identified in Sections 5.A.(i) or 5.A.(ii).
- ii. Notwithstanding anything else contained in this Judgment or in the Municipal Code, neither Clear Channel nor CBS will be denied a permit for any Modernization on any existing Structure, or restricted in the use of any Modernization, based upon the fact that the sign to be modernized is a roof sign or based on the fact that any Structure to be modernized may otherwise fall within a prohibition or restriction in any of the following Ordinances, Code provisions, interpretations or memoranda:
  - a. LAMC § 12.21A7(1);
  - b. LAMC § 12.21.1.A10;
  - c. LAMC § 12.22A23;
  - d. ZA 2000-0581:
  - e. Memorandum dated Oct. 13, 2000 re: signs on mini-shopping centers or commercial corner developments;
  - f. LAMC § 91.6205.11(11) or any other ban on one or more categories of signage;
  - g. LAMC § 91.6205.18;
  - h. Ordinance No. 174,547
  - i. Ordinance No. 175,223

- j. Memorandum dated May 17. 1988 re Electronic MessageDisplay Signs
- iii. Permits and work approvals for Modernizations shall not be denied to or withheld from any Structure based upon LAMC §§ 91.6206.3 or 91.6202.3, so long as Clear Channel or CBS, respectively, has obtained a building permit for the relevant Structure, either pursuant to this Judgment or otherwise. For Pre-1986 Structures,
  - a. Permits and work approvals for Modernizations pursuant to Section 5.A.i. 5.A.ii, or 5.A.iii shall not be denied or withheld based on LAMC § 91.6218 (except for subsections 6218.8 and 6218.9), so long as neither the height nor the area of the face of the requested Modernization is greater than those of the original Structure, and so long as neither the orientation nor location of the Structure is altered.
  - b. Permits and work approvals for Modernizations pursuant to Section 5.B.iv. for the construction of a new second face shall not be denied or withheld based on LAMC § 91.6218 (except for 6218.8 and 6218.9), so long as the size and height of a requested second face are no greater than those of the original face. Such Modernizations must comply with relevant zoning regulations, including Specific Plans, such as the Ventura Boulevard. Plan and Westwood Plan, where applicable. The City represents and warrants that City zoning regulations do not restrict the other Modernizations or re-permitting allowed pursuant to this Judgment, and with the exception of the construction of new second faces pursuant to Section 5.B.iv, no Modernization or re-permitting for an existing structure shall be denied based on zoning regulations.

iv. Permits and work approvals for Modernizations will not be denied or withheld, and the use of Modernizations will not be restricted, based on any other prohibition or restriction of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, which, like those listed in Section 5.B.ii and 5.B.iii, is not directly and predominantly related to "Structural or Electrical Safety" (i.e., sturdiness of building materials, wind resistance, methods of attachment, electrical safety, and earthquake safety). Work performed on a sign Structure pursuant to this Judgment shall not be considered a violation subject to LAMC § 91.6202. Nor shall any work performed on a sign Structure pursuant to this Judgment cause any otherwise lawfully erected Structure to lose its status as having been lawfully erected.

- a. The City may conduct inspections of work performed to modernize signs authorized by this Agreement to ensure Structural and Electrical Safety pursuant to LAMC 91.108. Provided such Modernizations satisfy the requirements for Structural and Electrical Safety and comply with applicable permits and plans, the City shall issue all further approvals for work on such Modernizations, including signing off with final approval on the inspection record card for the Structure. Such final sign off shall evidence the lawfulness of a Modernized Structure. The City shall also input identifying information pertaining to the Modernized Structure into all relevant City information systems as a finally approved, lawfully erected Structure.
- v. Spacing requirements of the Municipal Code shall not be applied to
  prevent the Modernization or Re-permitting of any single-faced
   Structure to include a second face ("back-up"), on the ground that the

back-up or sign to be modernized is not sufficiently far away from the other face on the subject Structure or that it is not sufficiently far from any illegal sign or any 8-sheet sign erected or modified after June 10, 2002.

- vi. Except as set forth in Section 4.B.v, Modernizations to Structures erected on or after July 1, 1986 shall comply in all respects with LAMC § 91.6218.
- vii. All digital postings authorized under Section 4.A.(i) shall comply with the lighting standards set forth in L.A.M.C. § 91.6205.13, which provides: "No sign shall be arranged and illuminated in such a manner as to produce a light intensity of greater than three footcandles above ambient lighting. as measured at the property line of the nearest residentially zoned property." In the event that any such digital posting ceases to comply with L.A.M.C. § 91.6205.13, CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, shall bring such posting into compliance promptly upon receipt of written notice from the City.
- C. Upon payment of applicable fees as set forth below, the City shall issue permits authorizing CBS and Clear Channel, respectively, to perform work to modernize the Structures listed in Section 5.A pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 5.D, below. The total fees charged for Modernizations requested pursuant to Sections 5.A.i, ii, and iii shall be no greater than the fees listed in Section 6.A.iv, below. The total fees charged for adding an additional face to a single-faced structure pursuant to Section 5.A.iv shall be no greater than the fees listed in Section 6.C.vii, below. Final selection of sign Structures for such Modernizations shall be at the sole discretion of CBS and Clear Channel, as applicable.

- D. The procedure for the issuance of a permit for the structural modifications described in Section 5.A shall be as follows:
  - On or before the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month after the Effective Date of the i. Parties' Agreement, CBS and Clear Channel may each provide the City with a list of up to 10 Structures for which the Modernizations described in Section 5.A. above, are requested ("Monthly Submission Date"). For each Structure on this list, CBS and Clear Channel, as the case may be, shall provide applicable City building plans pursuant to LAMC § 91.106.3 and building permit and plan check fees pursuant to LAMC § 91.107.2.3 and § 91.107.3.1.2. In the event that the requested Modernization will require CBS or Clear Channel to rebuild a structure in order to support the requested Modernization. Clear Channel or CBS, as the case may be, shall also pay to the City a Demolition Pre-inspection Fee of \$80 pursuant to LAMC § 91.107.3.2, and, provided such pre-inspection does not reveal safety hazards associated with demolition, the City shall issue a demolition permit. Other than the fees described in this paragraph and the fees set forth in Section 2, no other fees shall be due for these Modernizations.
  - ii. The City shall use its best efforts to complete its review and issue appropriate permits for each set of 10 Structures per company by the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the Monthly Submission Date. In the event that, despite its best efforts, the City is unable to process and act upon the permit applications within such time, and until the City has done so, the City shall refrain from acting upon any applications for building, demolition, or relocation permits for any structure, including but not limited to signs, submitted to it after the applicable Monthly Submission Date.

- iii. In the event that the City contends that a proposed Modernization fails to comply with the applicable building and electrical provisions of the Municipal Code pursuant to Section 5.B, above, the City shall, at the time that it denies or otherwise acts on the application, provide a complete statement of reasons and evidence as to why the Modernizations are not permissible under the applicable building and electrical provisions of the Municipal Code. The City shall have no discretion to decline approval under this Section for any reason other than (a) that the requested Modernization would not comply with the applicable building and electrical provisions of the Municipal Code as specified in Section 5.B; (b) non-payment of fees: or (c) the submission of a building plan that deviates in material terms from the types of Modernizations permitted under this Judgment.
- iv. If CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, disagrees with a decision by the City to deny a requested permit under Section 5.D.iii, above, it may provide to the City reasons or evidence as to why it believes that the requested Modernization should be allowed. In the alternative, and without waiving their rights to obtain a Modernization as originally requested, CBS and Clear Channel, as applicable, shall be entitled to designate alternative Modernization without the initial request counting as a "Credit" under Section 7, subject to the same procedures as described above.
- v. In the event of a dispute, resolution of the issues shall be pursuant to the process described in Section 8, below. The City has the burden to demonstrate that the Modernization requested by Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable, is not authorized pursuant to this Section 5 and that the City has complied with the requirements of this Section 5.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ii. If a Sign Structure was (a) erected between July 1, 1986 and
December 31, 1998; (b) has no permit; and (c) is not entitled to repermitting pursuant to this Judgment, such Structure shall be taken
down. Subject to the limit of Section 7.A, below, Sign Structures
erected between July 1, 1986 and December 31, 1998 shall be entitled
to re-permitting if either (aa) the sign Structure could have been
lawfully erected in its original condition at the time of its

construction, and any subsequent modifications could have been lawfully made at the time they were made; or (bb) the sign Structure could have been lawfully erected in its original condition at the time of its construction and any subsequent modification that could not have been lawfully made at the time it was made is either remedied or receives a new permit pursuant to Section 5 or Section 6.C.

## iii. Plans, Inspections, and Approvals

- a. The City may inspect both the plans for and the construction of any sign Structure for which either CBS or Clear Channel requests re-permitting. Such inspections shall be limited to ensuring the Structural or Electrical Safety of such Structure pursuant to the law in effect at the time the Structure was erected.
- b. CBS and Clear Channel will provide the City with either standard plans or a specific plan for each Structure as to which re-permitting is requested. For roof signs, CBS and Clear Channel may satisfy this requirement by providing plans or engineering specifications in accordance with a format that the parties will negotiate in good faith following entry of this Judgment.
- c. Following inspection, unless the re-permitted Structure does not comply with the requirements of Structural and Electrical Safety in effect at the time the Structure was erected, the City shall issue all further approvals for such Structure, including signing off with final approval on the inspection record card or permit for the Structure. Such final sign off shall evidence the lawfulness of a re-permitted Structure. The City shall also input identifying information pertaining to the re-permitted

I

Structure into all relevant City information systems as a finally approved, lawfully erected Structure.

- d. In the event that the City, in inspecting a sign Structure or plan pursuant to Section 6.A.iii.a. concludes that any construction or modification is required in order for a Structure to satisfy the applicable standards for Structural and Electrical Safety, the City shall issue all necessary building or other permits to allow for such construction or modification and shall issue all applicable approvals, including final approval for work conducted pursuant to this Section.
- iv. <u>Schedule of fees</u>: The total fees charged for issuance of permits pursuant to this Subsection 6.A (including all plan check fees, inspection fees, or other fees charged in connection with process of obtaining City approvals) shall be as follows:

	14' x 48'	Structure between 12' x 24' & 14' x 48', including 24' x 26'	12' x 24'
2 sided sign, no lights	\$3,217.95	\$2,365.70	\$1,878.71
2 sided sign with lights	\$3,548.78	\$2,696.54	\$2,209.54
1 sided sign, no lights	\$2,791.83	\$2,000.45	\$1,756.96
1 sided sign with lights	\$3,122.66	\$2,331.28	\$2,087.79

- v. Sign Structures erected on or after January 1, 1999 and for which no permit can be found shall be taken down.
- vi. Condemnation Proceedings: In any condemnation proceeding, the
  City shall retain its right to argue that any sign re-permitted pursuant
  to Section 6.A. was not lawfully erected or modified. Clear Channel
  and CBS retain their right to argue that such signs were lawfully
  erected or modified based on California Business & Professions Code
  § 5216.1 or any other reason. The issuance of a new permit pursuant

to this Section shall not be used as evidence in favor of or against any party in any such condemnation proceeding and shall not waive any rights either side may have with respect to any re-permitted structure in such proceeding.

- B. The Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in this Section 6.B. and Section 8 below shall apply to any dispute arising under or relating to Section 6 of this Judgment, including but not limited to whether a sign complies with an existing permit.
  - The following non-exclusive categories of records, if they disclose a relevant date, shall constitute sufficient evidence regarding the date that a sign was erected or modified: a deed, construction records, advertising records, a certificate of occupancy that specifically refers to a sign, an electrical permit, a lease, tax records, and other similar records.
- C. <u>Signs That Vary From Permit</u> -- Existing sign Structures erected at any time and for which a permit has been issued, but which are out of compliance with their permits shall be allowed if they are returned to compliance with their permits, including permits relating to any modification. In the alternative, at the election of CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, a permit shall be reissued for the Structure in its current condition under the circumstances set forth below. The total number of such Re-permitted Structures shall be consistent with the limitation set forth in Section 7.A., below. Any disputes concerning Modifications shall be resolved pursuant to Section 6.B. and 8 of this Judgment.
  - Height The sign Structure is no more than 10 feet higher than specified in the permit and does not exceed any other applicable height limitations in effect at the time the Structure was built or the height altered.

- ii. Double Panels The Structure was permitted for a single panel but actually has two panels, and the Structure as originally constructed could have been so constructed at the time of its construction and the subsequently added back-up could have been lawfully added at the time it was added. For purposes of this Section, a spacing violation caused by a sign Structure that did not exist at the time that the back-up was added to either Clear Channel's or CBS's sign Structure shall not provide a basis for denial of a new permit for the existing Clear Channel or CBS back-up. In addition, the spacing requirements applicable to the Re-permitting of double paneled signs shall be applied in conformance with Section 5.B.vi.
- description on the permit (with such legal description defined with reference to the time the Structure was built or relocated), but the Structure meets the following criteria: (a) it is located in the same lot or on a lot immediately adjacent to the lot for which it is permitted, (b) it is on the same side of the street or road for which it was permitted, (c) it does not violate spacing regulations that were in effect at the time the Structure was built or altered, and (d) it is not on a lot in a current residential zone. In addition, the spacing requirements of LAMC § 91.6218.4 shall not be applied to prevent the Re-permitting of a re-located sign Structure on the ground that the new location is not sufficiently far away from any 8-sheet sign or that a back-up on a re-located double-faced Structure is not sufficiently far away from the other face on the subject Structure.
- iv. Size The overall area of a sign displayed on a sign Structure is no more than 10% greater than the area authorized on its permit, and such size would have been permissible under applicable

ordinances/regulations in effect at the time the Structure was built or its size altered.

- v. Multiple Non-Conformances If a Structure is non-conforming in more than one way, but each non-conformance individually would be permitted under this Judgment, the Structure may be retained.
- vi. Plans. Inspections. and Approvals
  - a. For any part of a sign Structure that is not in conformance with an existing permit ("Modification"), the City may inspect any existing plans for the existing Structure or Modification. Such inspections shall be limited to ensuring that the Modification satisfies the criteria in Section 6.C.i.-6.C.v., above and to ensuring the Structural or Electrical Safety of the Modification, under the law in effect at the time the Modification was made, pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 5.B.iv.
  - b. CBS and Clear Channel will provide the City with either standard plans or a specific plan for each Structure as to which re-permitting is requested pursuant to Section 6.C. For roof signs, CBS and Clear Channel may satisfy this requirement by providing plans or engineering specifications in accordance with a format that the parties will negotiate in good faith following entry of this Judgment.
  - c. Following inspection, unless the Modification does not comply with the requirements of Structural and Electrical Safety in effect at the time the Modification was made, the City shall issue all further approvals for such Modification, including signing off with final approval on the inspection record card or permit for the Structure. Such final sign off shall evidence the lawfulness of a re-permitted Structure with the applicable

21 دي

Modification. The City shall also input identifying information pertaining to the re-permitted Structure with the applicable Modification into all relevant City information systems as a finally approved, lawfully erected Structure.

d. In the event that the City, in inspecting a Modification or plan pursuant to Section 6.C, concludes that any construction or modification is required in order for a Structure to satisfy the applicable standards for Structural and Electrical Safety, the City shall issue all necessary building or other permits to allow for such construction or modification and shall issue all applicable approvals, including final approval for work conducted pursuant to this Section.

vii. The fees charged for issuance of permits and approvals pursuant to Section 6.C.ii shall be calculated as follows:

	14' x 48'	Structure between 12' x 24' & 14' x 48', including 24' x 26'	12' x 24'
1 additional face with lights	\$841.29	\$781.21	\$480.83
1 additional face with no lights	\$664.63	\$604.55	\$304.17

viii. Procedures – If the City and the sign company do not agree on whether a Structure qualifies for Re-permitting pursuant to the criteria set forth in this Section 6.C., the matter shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section 8 below, with the City having the burden to demonstrate that a Structure is not entitled to Re-permitting under the standards set forth above.

ix. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to limit the rights of Clear Channel and CBS to contend that a sign is in compliance with an existing permit.

#### D. Cut-outs/Extensions

- i. Clear Channel and CBS may elect to use up to 75 of the Credits allocated in Section 7 for the purpose of creating cut-outs or erecting extensions on any 75 of their respective Structures erected on or after July 1, 1986, so long as there are no more than 75 such cut-outs or extensions on such post-1986 signs per company at any one time and such cut-outs or extensions are not greater in size or height than provided for in Title 4, Division 6. Section 2242(k) and (o) of the California Code of Regulation and California Business & Professions Code Section 5408(a).
- iii. CBS and Clear Channel may submit for approval standard plans illustrating the largest possible cut-outs or extensions they intend to erect under Section 6.D.i ("Maximum Plan"). Consistent with the timing requirements of Section 5.D.ii. the City shall review such plans solely to ensure that the size is allowable under Section 6.D.i and to ensure Structural or Electrical Safety pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 5.D.ii, the City shall either approve and issue a permit for such Maximum Plan or provide a complete statement of reasons and evidence as to why such Maximum Plan is not approved. Any disputes as to whether a Maximum Plan should be approved will be resolved either by Clear Channel or CBS, respectively, submitting a revised Maximum Plan or pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions of Section 8 of this Judgment.
- iii. Once Clear Channel and CBS, respectively, have obtained approval for their Maximum Plan, they may erect cut-outs or extensions permitted pursuant to Section 6.D.i. so long as the particular cut-out is no greater in size than that approved in the Maximum Plan and

complies with the physical requirements of the Maximum Plan in terms of materials. methods construction, and methods of attachment. Following the erection of such cut-outs, Clear Channel and CBS, respectively, shall promptly provide a certification to the City that the relevant cut-outs were erected in accordance with the Maximum Plan.

- iv. Nothing in the foregoing shall restrict the right of Clear Channel and CBS to display cut-outs consistent with LAMC Section 91.6207(a) (repealed 1986) and similar provisions on any Pre-1986 Structure, and Clear Channel and CBS may continue to display cut-outs on all such signs subject to the legal requirements in effect at the time such signs were erected.
- E. In the event that either CBS or Clear Channel has within its inventory sign Structures that do not qualify for Re-permitting pursuant to the standards set forth in Section 6.A or 6.C, above, nothing in the foregoing shall prevent CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, from restoring such Structures to permit compliance and continuing to maintain such Structures as restored. Nor shall anything in this Judgment constitute a waiver of any right that CBS or Clear Channel may otherwise have to claim that any Structure satisfies the requirements of its permit or that any alteration was lawful.

## 7. Credits For Modernization And Re-Permitting

- A. Clear Channel and CBS, respectively, may each designate up to 420 Structures ("Credits") for which it desires to make Modernizations or obtain Re-Permitting set forth in Section 5, 6.A.ii, and/or 6.C.
  - i. The use of such Credits shall be subject to the relevant City approvals described in Sections 5 and 6, above. It shall be within the sole discretion of CBS and Clear Channel as to how to use their respective Credits.

ii.	Except with respect to adding a second face pursuant to Section
	5.A.iv, the use of Credits shall apply on a structure-by-structure basis
	so that Clear Channel and CBS may (except for the addition of second
	faces pursuant to Section 5.A.iv) make multiple Modernizations
	pursuant to Section 5.A and obtain re-permitting pursuant to Section
	6.A.ii and/or 6.C. using a single "Credit" for such structure. The
	construction of a second face pursuant to Section 5.A.iv shall require
	the use of one Credit. To the extent Clear Channel or CBS desires to
	take advantage of the right to erect Cut-outs or Extensions as set forth
	in Section 6.D the number of Credits shall be reduced on a one-to-
	one basis.

iii. Clear Channel and CBS each may be allowed to use Credits for second faces pursuant to Section 5.A.iv on no more than 100 Structures. In addition, such second faces will not be oriented in such a manner that they are primarily viewed from a street containing residentially zoned frontage within 100 feet of the sign and from which residentially zoned property the face of the new second face is visible. A sign face is "viewed primarily from a street" if the message on the face may be seen with reasonable clarity for a greater distance by a person traveling on such street than by a person traveling on any other street.

## 8. <u>Dispute Resolution</u>

A. Any dispute concerning any matter relating to this Judgment ("Dispute") shall be resolved exclusively pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Judgment. For the avoidance of doubt, and by way of example only, until the caps set forth in Section 7.A are reached, all disputes regarding permit compliance issues that fall within the categories for which Re-permitting,

Modernization, or other accommodation, or remediation may be available herein shall be resolved pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Judgment.

- i. In the event of a Dispute, Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable, will first seek to resolve such dispute informally with the City.
- ii. If the parties to any Dispute are unable to resolve their Dispute informally, such Dispute shall be (a) submitted to the Board of Building and Safety Commissioners ("Board"), or (b) at the election of CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, submitted for non-binding early neutral evaluation to one of the following pre-designated neutrals ("Neutrals") listed in Section 8.A.iii. below. In the event that CBS or Clear Channel, as applicable, elects to have a Dispute resolved through non-binding early neutral evaluation, such company shall pay all of the Neutral's fees and costs. The Parties are free to agree on other methods of dividing payment for the services of the Neutral.
- iii. The designated Neutrals are:
  - a. Richard Mainland
  - b. Eli Chernow
  - c. Charles Vogel
  - d. Lourdes Baird
- iv. The Board or the Neutral, as applicable, shall review the matter de novo. A decision by the Board or the Neutral shall be supported by law and substantial evidence.
- v. Disputes concerning Clear Channel shall be consolidated and presented to either the Board or a single Neutral for decision on a semi-annual basis. Disputes concerning CBS shall be consolidated and presented to either the Board or a single Neutral for decision on a

semi-annual basis. The Parties may select a different Neutral in each semi-annual period.

- vi. All early neutral evaluations pursuant to this Section shall be conducted pursuant to the AAA Commercial Arbitration rules, but the Party selecting early neutral evaluation may elect not to have the evaluation administered through the AAA. Any Party may request that the Neutral permit specified discovery in connection with any early neutral evaluation hereunder, and, upon a showing of good cause, the Neutral shall permit reasonable discovery, including depositions, document production, and responses to interrogatories and/or requests for admission.
- vii. Review of a decision of the Board shall be to the Honorable Ralph Dau, Los Angeles Superior Court, shall be governed by *de novo* review, and shall. except as to matters subject to judicial notice, be limited to the record developed before the Board.
- viii. If any Party either refuses to participate in early neutral evaluation, fails to comply with discovery ordered by the Neutral, or declines to accept the decision of the Neutral in writing within 30 days of such decision, the other Party may file an action in Los Angeles Superior Court relating to such dispute. Such action shall be referred as a related case to Hon Ralph Dau, who shall rule on the matter *de novo*. Unless either party has refused to participate in the early neutral evaluation or has failed to provide discovery permitted by the Neutral, such action shall be limited to the record developed in the early judicial evaluation and matters subject to judicial notice.
- ix. Unless the City demonstrates that there is an immediate threat to public safety, neither Clear Channel nor CBS shall be required to remove or modify any Structure or sign face during the pendency of

any proceeding pursuant to this Judgment, nor shall the City interfere with the regular maintenance or operation or such Structure or sign face during the pendency of such proceeding.

## x. Unavailability of Designated Neutral

- a. Neutral -- In the event that Clear Channel Parties elect early judicial evaluation. but none of the pre-designated Neutrals is available to resolve the dispute, the Parties shall meet and confer in an effort to agree on a new decision maker. If they cannot agree within 10 court days, then Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable. may seek to have a Neutral appointed pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1281.6 with the requirement that the selected Neutral shall be a retired state or federal judge based in California. Payment for the Neutral's fees and costs in this case shall be as set forth in Section 8.A.ii, above.
- b. Judge Dau -- In the event that Judge Dau is not available to resolve any disputes under this Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in an effort to jointly agree on a new judicial authority of whom to make a joint request to assume jurisdiction over such matters. In the event they are not able to agree within 10 days, or in the event that the chosen judicial authority declines to assume jurisdiction, the party seeking either judicial review of a decision by the Board or seeking an adjudication of matters previously submitted for early neutral evaluation may file an appropriate action in the Los Angeles Superior Court subject to the remaining provisions of Section 8.A.vii and 8.A.viii concerning the record and the standard of review.

## 9. Enforcement

£ 22

- A. If a dispute arises as to a matter that is outside of the scope of this Judgment (e.g., the City receives a complaint that a sign carries an obscene message or is structurally unsound), such disputes may be addressed in accordance with the City Municipal Code enforcement procedures.
- B. The Parties agree to seek to resolve all such disputes informally before the City initiates any punitive action against either CBS or Clear Channel.

# 10. Most Favored Nations; Future Program And Fees

- A. If by agreement or legislative or administrative process the City grants any other outdoor advertising company a lower fee than either of the fees set forth in Section 2.A. or 2.B, above for comparable services, then Clear Channel and CBS shall have the right, in their sole discretion, to have their fees reduced to equal the lower fee described above and shall be entitled to a refund for any fees that they have paid in excess of those required of another company.
- B. In addition, if by agreement or legislative or administrative process the City allows any other outdoor advertising company to obtain new or modified permits in any of the categories of Modernizations and/or Re-permitting set forth above in Sections 5 and 6 for a higher percentage of the other company's inventory, Clear Channel and CBS shall each be entitled to increase the number of Structures for which it is entitled to new or modified permits so that the percentage of its Modernized or Re-permitted inventory is at least equal to that of the other company.
- C. If a court of competent jurisdiction determines that any part of the Program is unconstitutional or invalid, and that court's determination is or becomes final and non-appealable, or if the Program is otherwise ceased (whether through repeal or otherwise), then Clear Channel and CBS shall each have the option of terminating this Judgment. The decision of either Clear

- 28 -

\_22 \_23

-24 -25

Channel or CBS to terminate shall not operate to terminate the Judgment as to the other company.

- D. If a new billboard inspection program is enacted, Clear Channel and CBS may (i) continue with the process provided in this Judgment or (ii) terminate this Judgment and become subject to the new program. The decision of Clear Channel or CBS to become subject to such new program shall not bind the other company.
- E. This Judgment does not in any way affect or alter Clear Channel's and CBS's or the City's rights or obligations under any existing or future supplemental use district, specific plan, development agreement, or other similar regulatory requirement or program, other than the Program. Any take-downs under this Judgment shall not be credited or utilized under any other City program.

#### 11. Termination

Α.

If either Party believes that another Party has breached or is currently breaching the Settlement Agreement, then that Party ("Non-Breaching Party") shall give notice to the other Party ("Breaching Party") stating the nature of the breach and the way in which the breach may be remedied. If the Breaching Party does not remedy the breach within sixty (60) days (or ninety (90) days if the breach cannot reasonably be remedied within sixty (60) days), then the Non-Breaching Party may terminate the Settlement Agreement or seek enforcement of the Settlement Agreement through the Court. If the Breaching Party disputes the existence of a breach, then, within the sixty-day cure period, the Breaching Party may initiate the dispute resolution process contained in Section 8. In that case, the dispute shall be resolved pursuant to the procedures set forth Section 8. If the Settlement Agreement is terminated as between Clear Channel and the City then Clear Channel and the City may seek to enforce this Judgment only as to facts and

circumstances arising on or before the termination date. Similarly, if the Settlement Agreement is terminated as between CBS and the City then CBS and the City may seek to enforce this Judgment only as to facts and circumstances arising on or before the termination date. Nothing in this Nothing in this Section shall impair the rights of any Party to enforce the Judgment with respect to such obligations as survive expiration, including but not limited to the City's permitting obligations under Section 11.C.

- B. If this Settlement Agreement is terminated for any reason (including expiration), then Clear Channel's and CBS's Structures shall become subject to the program (as the same may exist at the time of termination). If this Settlement Agreement is terminated before the completion of the Initial Inspection Period, then Clear Channel and CBS shall not be required to pay the inspection fee under the program (as the same may exist at the time of termination) until each of the Structures has been inspected once, and any permits have been issued or re-issued as required by Sections 5 and 6 above, provided that Clear Channel and CBS, as applicable, have completed the take downs pursuant to Section 4, paid \$186 per Structure pursuant to Section 2.A and provided data pursuant to Section 3.
- C. Except as provided below, if the Settlement Agreement is not terminated earlier pursuant to its terms, the Agreement shall expire automatically six (6) years from the date of execution of the Settlement Agreement, provided that the obligation to issue or re-issue permits as required by Sections 5 and 6 shall survive such expiration. The City shall notify Clear Channel and CBS no later than sixty (60) days before the end of the initial six (6) year term (and any successive term) in writing of the Annual Fee that would be applicable to the Structures for the following six (6) years if the Settlement Agreement were to be extended for that period. If Clear Channel and/or CBS agree to such Annual Fee within sixty (60) days of receiving such notice, the

Settlement Agreement shall be extended to Clear Channel and/or CBS, as applicable, for a further six (6) years. If Clear Channel and/or CBS do not agree to such Annual Fee, the Settlement Agreement shall expire as to Clear Channel and/or CBS, as applicable, and in the case of such expiration or other termination, Clear Channel and/or CBS, as applicable, shall have any remedy available to them at law or in equity to raise claims relating to any inspection program and/or fee (if any) then in effect. In the event of such an expiration, the obligation to issue or re-issue permits as required by Sections 5 and 6 shall survive such expiration, provided that Clear Channel and CBS, as applicable, have completed the take downs pursuant to Section 4, paid \$186 per Structure pursuant to Section 2.A and provided data pursuant to Section 3.

# 12. Authority To Stipulate To Consent Judgment

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

#### 13. Release

By this Judgment, Clear Channel and CBS, and all those who do or may assert any claim by or through them, do fully, finally and forever release, acquit and discharge the Defendants and their respective employees, agents and attorneys, servants and other representatives, past or present, from all claims, demands, causes of action, and liability of any kind or description, related to the subject matter or allegations of this Action, including any claim for attorneys' fees.

By this Judgment, Defendants and all those who do or may assert any claim by or through them, do fully, finally and forever release, acquit and discharge Clear Channel and CBS and their respective employees, agents and attorneys,

1		servants and other repre	sentatives, past or present, from all claims, demands,
2	causes of action, and liability of any kind or description, related to the subject		
3		matter or allegations of	this Action, including any claim for attorneys' fees.
4	14.	<u>Notices</u>	
5		Any notice submitted po	ursuant to Section 11 (Termination) shall be in
6		writing and shall be serv	ved on the Parties at the following address:
7		If to Clear Channel:	President Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
8			19320 Harborgate Way Los Angeles, CA 90501
9		with Copies to:	General Counsel
10			Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. 2201 E. Camelback Road, Suite 500
11 12			Phoenix, AZ 85016
13			- and - Richard B. Kendall Irell & Manella LLP
13			1800 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles CA 90067
15			Facsimile: (310) 203-7199
16		If to CBS:	CBS Outdoor Inc. 1731 Workman Street Los Angeles, CA 90031
17			Attn: Real Estate Development
18		with Copies to:	CBS Outdoor Inc. 405 Lexington Ave.
19			New York, NY 10174 Attn: General Counsel
20			- and -
21			Richard B. Kendall
<u>⇔</u> 22			Irell & Manella LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars
			Los Angeles CA 90067 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199
323 524 ->25 = 26		If to City of Los Angeles:	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles Department of Building & Safety
26 27			3550 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1800 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Attn: Chief of the Code Enforcement Bureau Facsimile: (213) 252-3911
28	ļ	with Copies to:	City Attorney's Office
			City of Los Angeles
			22

21

26

27

28

700 City Hall East 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Attn: Jeri Burge

Facsimile: (213)978-8090

Any such notices may be sent by (a) certified mail, return receipt requested, in which case notice shall be deemed delivered three (3) business days after deposit, postage prepaid in the U.S. mail, (b) a recognized and reputable overnight courier, in which case notice shall be deemed delivered one (1) business day after deposit with such courier (on or prior to 6:00 p.m., Pacific Time: if deposited after such time, it shall be deemed to have been deposited on the next business day), or (c) facsimile transmission, to be followed by service by certified mail or overnight courier, in which case notice shall be deemed delivered upon electronic verification (on or prior to 6:00 p.m., Pacific Time; if verification is received after such time, it shall be deemed to have been delivered on the next business day) that transmission to recipient was completed. The above addresses and facsimile numbers may be changed by written notice to the other Party; provided that no notice of a change of address or facsimile number shall be effective until actual receipt of such notice. Copies of notices are for informational purposes only, and a failure to give or receive copies of any notice shall not be deemed a failure to give notice.

## 15. Governing Law, Waiver and Severability

- A. This Judgment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of California, without regard to any otherwise applicable principles of conflicts of laws.
- B. Except with respect to rights reserved to the City under Section 6.A.vi., the City waives any right it may otherwise have to deny or otherwise challenge the validity of any permit issued pursuant to this Judgment in any eminent domain action or other proceeding, regardless of whether such application

was filed before or after the commencement of any such eminent domain action or other proceeding.

- C. If any provision of this Judgment is held invalid or unenforceable, Clear Channel and CBS shall be entitled to a refund of all fees or other moneys paid to the City, except fees for services actually rendered with respect to matters unaffected by the finding of invalidity or unenforceability, and such refund shall not affect the ongoing legality of the permits issued pursuant to this Judgment or the Parties' Agreement or the City's waiver of any right it would otherwise have to deny or otherwise challenge the validity of such permits.
- D. The headings and captions used in this Judgment are for convenience only and do not affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.

## 16. <u>Limited Liability</u>

- A. This Judgment is binding upon the Parties. Neither the members, managers, employees, agents, shareholders, officers, directors, employees or agents of Clear Channel and CBS, nor any of Clear Channel's and CBS's related entities shall be liable for any monetary payment under this Judgment, and the City shall look solely to the assets of Clear Channel and CBS, as applicable, for the payment of any claim or the performance of any obligation by Clear Channel and CBS, as applicable.
- B. No member, official, employee, or agent of City shall be personally liable to Clear Channel or CBS, or any successor in interest, for any monetary payment in the event of any default or breach by City, or for any other amount which may become due to Clear Channel or CBS or its successors.

## 17. Third Party Beneficiaries

A. Property owners who lease signs to Clear Channel and CBS shall be deemed to be third-party beneficiaries of this Judgment. The City shall not apply the

2

Off-Site Sign Inspection Program to such lessors on account of their ownership or control of Off-Site Sign Structures. Nor shall the City otherwise take such action against Clear Channel's or CBS's lessors that would be barred as against Clear Channel or CBS under the terms of this Judgment. This Judgment does not provide any third party with any rights or remedies as against Clear Channel or CBS.

В. In the event that any third party brings any challenge (i.e., administrative proceeding or lawsuit or motion filed in state or federal court) either to this Judgment as a whole or to any application for permits or approvals under this Judgment, the City shall give sufficiently prompt notice to Clear Channel and CBS of such challenge and shall in all events give such notice within 10 days of the date that either the Department or the Office of the City Attorney first learns of the challenge. The City shall not voluntarily assist in any such third-party challenge or take any position adverse to CBS or Clear Channel in connection with such third-party challenge. In the event that any such third-party challenge impairs any of CBS's or Clear Channel's rights under the Settlement Agreement or this Judgment, CBS or Clear Channel, as the case may be, may terminate the Settlement Agreement, but no Party may recover damages against any other Party for breach of the Settlement Agreement based upon such challenge. The Agreement shall remain in effect as between the City and the non-terminating Party.

#### 18. Estoppel Certificate

Either Party may, at any time, and from time to time, deliver written notice to the opposing Party requesting such Party to certify in writing that, to the knowledge of the certifying Party, (a) the Parties' Agreement and/or this Judgment remains is in full force and effect and a binding obligation of the Parties, (b) the Parties' Agreement has not been amended or modified either orally or in writing, and if so amended, identifying the amendments, (c)

requesting Party is not in default in the performance of its obligations under the Agreement or this Judgment. or if in default, to describe therein the nature and amount of any such defaults. (d) the fees paid by Clear Channel and CBS pursuant to this Judgment, (e) the number of Structures and panels taken down pursuant to this Judgment, (f) the number of Structures for which Modernization permits have been issued pursuant to Section 5, above, (g) the number of Structures for which new permits have been issued pursuant to Section 6, above, and (h) any other matter reasonably requested by the requesting Party as to the status of performance of the Parties' Agreement or this Judgment by the Parties. A Party receiving a request hereunder shall execute and return such certificate within sixty (60) days following the receipt thereof. The City Attorney shall have the right to execute any certificate requested by Clear Channel and CBS hereunder. City acknowledges that a certificate hereunder may be relied upon by transferees of Clear Channel and CBS (subject to Section 20 below (Assignment)).

#### 19. Assignment

- A. <u>Clear Channel and CBS</u> This Judgment binds Clear Channel, CBS and any future owner or operator of the Structures. To the extent that Clear Channel or CBS sell, assign or otherwise transfer any Structures, whether through a stock or assets transfer or otherwise, Clear Channel or CBS, as applicable, shall provide the transferee with a copy of this Judgment, and the transaction documents transferring the Structures shall include a statement to the following effect: "The Structures are subject to a binding Judgment by the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles. A copy of such Judgment has been provided to [transferee]. [Transferee] has read and understands the terms of the Judgment, and agrees to comply with the Judgment with respect to the Structures."
- B. <u>City</u> City shall not assign its duties and obligations under this Judgment.

## 20. Time of Essence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-22

27

28

The timing provisions set forth herein are of the essence and an essential part of this Judgment.

# 21. Computation of Time

Unless otherwise required by a specific provision of this Judgment, time hereunder is to be computed by excluding the first day and including the last day.

# 22. <u>Calendar Days</u>

All references in this Judgment to a number of days in which any Party shall have to consent, approve or perform shall mean calendar days unless specifically stated to be business days.

## 23. Approvals

Except as expressly stated otherwise in this Judgment, approvals required of the Parties or any of them shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed, and approval or disapproval shall be given within the time set forth in this Judgment, or, if no time is given, within a reasonable time.

#### 24. No Waiver

No waiver of any of a party's rights under this Judgment shall be deemed, or shall constitute, a waiver of any other rights under any other provision of this Judgment, whether or not similar, nor shall any waiver constitute a continuing waiver, nor shall a waiver in any instance constitute a waiver in any subsequent instance. No waiver shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party making the waiver.

## 25. Specific Performance

The benefits conveyed to each Party by this Judgment are unique, and a failure by a Party to perform its obligations under this Judgment will cause irreparable injury to the other Party. Actual damages to a Party for any such failure may be difficult to ascertain and may be inadequate. Consequently,

0.0

the Parties shall be entitled. in the event of a failure of the other Party to perform its obligations under this Judgment, to specific performance of any of the provisions of this Judgment in addition to any other legal or equitable remedies to which such Party may be otherwise entitled. If any action is brought by a Party against the other based upon such Party's failure to perform its obligations under this Judgment, the Party will waive the defense that there is an adequate remedy at law.

## 26. Further Acts and Assurances

Each Party, at the request of the other, shall execute, acknowledge or have notarized (if appropriate) and deliver in a timely manner such additional documents, and do such other additional acts, also in a timely manner, as may be reasonably required in order to accomplish the intent and purposes of this Judgment. This Judgment requires a high degree of cooperation between the Parties, and the Parties have agreed to and shall work together in good faith to implement this Judgment in a manner that achieves its intents and purposes.

#### 27. Mutual Contribution

The Parties have jointly stipulated to this Judgment and mutually contributed to its drafting. Consequently, no provision of this Judgment shall be construed against any Party on the ground that such Party drafted the provision, or caused it to be drafted, or the provision contains a covenant of such Party.

#### 28. Amendment and Modification

This Judgment may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by an amended judgment entered by the Court. In the event the Parties agree that such amendment would be mutually beneficial, they may request such amendment from the Court.

1	29. <u>Counterparts</u>			
2	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts			
3	and by means of facsimile. which taken together shall be deemed, upon			
4	approval by the Court to constitute one binding document.			
5				
6	<u>JUDGMENT</u>			
7	This Stipulated Judgment is hereby entered by the Court pursuant to the terms set			
8	forth above.			
9	07 2 <b>207</b>			
10	Dated: FEB 02 2007 Sphurson			
11	Hon. Kalph W. Dau Superior Court of the State of California			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
<b>22</b>				
22 323 524 25 26				
£ 24				
25				
27				
28				
	1628129 [REVISED PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT			

	1	I have read the above [Revised	Proposed] Stipulated Judgment and consent to it.
	2	$\alpha t^{n}$	
	3	Dated: January 262007	
	4		
	5		Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
	6		Laura Toncheff Executive Vice President
	7	Dated: January, 2007	
	8		
	9		CBS Outdoor Inc. Raymond Nowak
	10		Raymond Nowak Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
	11	Dated: January, 2007	omor, and omor ramming and o
	12		
	13		National Advertising Company
	14		Raymond Nowak
	15		Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
	16 17	Dated: January, 2007	
	18	, <u> </u>	
	19		City of Los Angeles
	20		City of Los Angeles Peter Gutierrez Assistant City Attorney
	21		•
	22		
	23		
	24		
red red red to	25		
6	26		
il Gran	27		
	28		
			- 40 -
		1628129	(REVISED PROPOSED) STIPULATED JUDGMENT

	1	I have read the above [Revised Proposed]	Stipulated Judgment and consent to it.
	2		
	3	Dated: January, 2007	
	4		
	5		Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
	6		Gregory McGrath President, Southern California Division
	7	Dated: January, 2007	
	8		
	9		CBS Outdoor Inc.
	10		Raymond Nowak Executive Vice President, Chief Financial
	11	<i>I</i> I	Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
	12	Dated: January, 2007	
	13		Me wound
	14		National Advertising Company - Mergad nto Raymond Nowak
	15		Executive Vice President, Chief Financial The Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
	16		71/34/66
	17	Dated: January, 2007	
	18		
	19		City of Los Angeles
	20		Peter Gutierrez Assistant City Attorney
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
NES-	27	,	
	28		
		1628 (29) [REVISED PROPOS	- 40 - SEDISTIPULATED JUDGMENT

01/26/2007 13:53 2139788214

ļ.		
1	I have read the above [Revis	ed Proposed] Stipulated Judgment and consent to it.
2		•
3	Dated: January, 2007	
4		
5		Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
6		Gregory McGrath President, Southern California Division
7	Dated: January, 2007	
8		
9		CBS Outdoor Inc.
10		Raymond Nowak Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
11	Dated: January, 2007	
12		
13		Mational Advertising Commons
14		National Advertising Company Raymond Nowak Evecutive Vice President, Chief Financial
15		Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer
16	Dated: January 262007	$\sim$ 1
17	Dateu: January - 2007	at 1 Mit
18		
19	·	City of Los Angeles Peter Gutierrez
20		Assistant City Attorney
21		•
22 32		
27		
24 25		
25		
23 24 125 126 27		
28		
	1628129	- 40 - [REVISED PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT
	T .	

Laura W. Brill

Irell & Manella LLP Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.,
CBS OUTDOOR INC., and NATIONAL
ADVERTISING COMPANY

Steve Blau
Deputy City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS

ANGELES

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 2 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900, 3 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276. On January 26, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as [REVISED 4 PROPOSED STIPULATED JUDGMENT on each interested party, as follows: 5 William H. Ihrke, Esq. Rockard L. Delgadillo, City Attorney Rutan & Tucker, LLP Jeri L. Burge, Assistant City Attorney 611 Anton Blvd., 14th Floor Steven N. Blau, Deputy City Attorney Costa Mesa, CA 92626 700 City Hall East 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-4130 9 I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of each interested party. 10 Executed on January 26, 2007, at Los Angeles, California. 11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 12 foregoing is true and correct. 13 Hove Gloria Cohn 14 (Type or print name) 15 16 17 18

26

27

19

20

21